Candidate Name:	Civics Class:
Registration No.:	Tutor's Code:

NATIONAL JUNIOR COLLEGE

SH2 Preliminary Examination

GENERAL PAPER

8807/01

Paper 1

27 August 2014

1 hour 30 minutes

Additional Materials: Answer Paper

READ THESE INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

Write your full name, registration number, civics class and tutor's code on all the work you hand in.

Write in dark blue or black pen on both sides of the paper.

Do not use staples, paper clips, highlighters, glue or correction fluid.

Answer one question.

Note that 20 marks out of 50 will be awarded for your use of language.

At the end of the examination, fasten all your work securely together.

All questions in this paper carry equal marks.

David Goldblatt writes about taking sport seriously.

Sport is first and foremost a form of organised play. The dual use of the word "player" as both sporting participant and actor is not accidental. When we play, we step out of our conventional state. We create our own stage, take on new roles and identities, make and tell ourselves fantastical stories. Sport is also a form of improvised popular theatre; its apparatus of challenges, contests, competitions, unknown outcomes and final results is like a vast polymorphous machine for generating improvised and compressed stories.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

- Sport generates meanings and pleasures in a multitude of other ways. The movement and choreographies of some sports evoke the same pleasures as dance. And in many sports, the crowd is unquestionably the chorus, not only supplying ambience, commentary and income, but actively shaping the tone and the course of the game. The opportunity that this provides for the collective dramatisation of identities and social relationships, both spontaneous and organised, is without parallel in the field of global popular culture. Indeed, Phil Knight, the founder of Nike, has claimed that "sport is global culture." The Olympics, for all their faults, remain the most significant global celebration of internationalism. No language or religion reaches as far, geographically or socially, as participation in and consumption of the world's leading sports.
- Yet taking sport seriously seems a contradiction in terms. All sport, however much it has been commodified, regularised and organised, is in the end just a complex form of play. Calculated by the stem and quantifiable metrics of utility, efficiency and safety, sports are nonsense. Using metal sticks to whack a tiny ball across half a kilometre of sculpted landscape into a tiny hole is serious? Ski-jumping and hitting a ball against the wall? Even as ideas they are preposterous. Sport demands of its participants and spectators a leap of faith, a suspension of reason, an abandonment of many conventional values and judgements, as a precondition of accepting that these games do matter. The leap of faith takes us into a world freed from instrumental reason, where the pressures of modern society have no rightful place. That such a space can exist in a world that can appear deformed by the reach of money and power is a serious prospect indeed.
- Serious organised play cannot be purely spontaneous. If we wish to watch the spectacular, to participate in its grand narratives, we need rules and rule-making institutions; we need facilities, stadiums and professional athletes. Spectaculars require backers; the circus must be paid for. Sport needs, attracts, and must deal with money and power, and the backers will always be looking to buy or take their share of glory. How are we to police the line between the realms of power and play, economic space and social space? The production and consumption of modern sport clearly is political, albeit with a small "p."
- What would a healthier sporting culture look like? It would start from two ideas. Sport should be treated with the same seriousness that is accorded to the performing arts. Additionally, it should be judged by the same standards of transparency, sustainability and democracy that we expect elsewhere in public life. Many things follow from this, but let us consider some. First, let's get our histories right. All modern sports revel in their own histories and use them to manufacture contemporary meanings and pleasures. The keeping of systematic records provides a constant set of comparisons between teams and individuals across the generations. Narratives of clubs, tournaments and traditions of styles of play provide a rich seam of interest in sporting competition. However, in both official and popular idioms, it has been mainly a manufactured history that we have been offered: concocted myth, detached from the wider economic social and political context in which it has occurred. The results are at best drearily sentimental, and at worst scurrilous cover-ups of past injustices and misdemeanours. We must insist on better.

Second, can we improve the conversation? While there is nothing wrong with sporting professionals becoming media commentators, there is also no reason to think that they possess a monopoly of wisdom on sporting matters, nor that past sporting glory can make up for any amount of present-day guff. At the very least, let's have other voices. Sports reporting will always be full of cliché, repetition, hurned speech and slackly organised thought: the frenetic nature of the modern sporting calendar guarantees this. But the room for improvement is still vast. Media outlets should do fewer interviews with fewer people. When they do, they should not ask closed questions, or request impossible forms of quantification— "Just how important was that win to the club?" Commentators, especially on television, should not feel that it is their duty to fill every passing second

PLEASE LOGIN WITH YOUR STUDENT ID & PASSWORD TO VIEW THE FULL PAPER.